APPLICATION AND CHARACTERISTICS OF WATERBORNE ROAD MARKING PAINT
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Abstract: Horizontal road markings are one of the essential safety features of modern roadways. All of the utilised systems consist of a pigmented coating containing partially embedded retroreflective elements such as glass beads. In addition to durability and functionality of the road marking, ease of application and effect on human health and environment are primary considerations for their selection. Road marking systems can be divided into plural component materials that cure due to chemical reaction occurring at the site of application, thermoplastics that require heat for application, and paints, drying upon evaporation of the dissolving medium. The focus of this paper is on road marking paints with a special emphasis on contemporary waterborne materials. Over 100 years old solventborne technology furnishes paints that afford consistent application properties under a variety of conditions such as lower temperatures and high humidity. Their environmental and human health impact is significant and durability quite poor. Modern waterborne paints are based on acrylic resins and incorporate developed in the 1990s quick-set chemical mechanism for drying. Under favourable weather conditions, they dry faster as compared to solventborne. However, their known weakness is risk of washout in case of rain and sluggish development of washout resistance at marginal application conditions like high humidity and low temperature. Impact of waterborne paints on human health and environment is very significantly minimised as compared to other materials. Their durability is significantly higher as compared to solvent-based paints. Analysis of characteristics of waterborne road marking paints and preliminary results from their trial application in Croatia are presented herein. Based on the presented comparison with solventborne materials, after results from test application become available, intelligent decisions regarding future use of waterborne road marking paints in Croatia and other countries that have not embraced this technology shall be possible.
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1. Introduction

In 2011, 25 726 people died on the roads of the European Union and 1 115 410 persons were injured (European Road Statistics, 2013). One of the international efforts is reduction of these numbers by 50% before 2020 (“Towards a European road safety area: policy orientations on road safety 2011-2020”). The corresponding social cost was approximately 130 billion Euros (European Commission, 2010).

Horizontal road markings are one of the safety features on modern roads; their influence on driving safety is especially...
prominent at night and in adverse weather conditions (Migletz et al., 1994). The markings can be defined as a set of longitudinal and transversal lines, signs and symbols on the surface of transportation infrastructure. They represent a fraction of overall traffic signalisation and cannot be at present replaced by other means. Studies shown that generally, the presence of only centre and edge lines can reduce all accidents by 20% (Miller, 1992).

Efficiency and durability of road markings are required by both road users and the road authorities. From the users’ point of view, road markings provide an optical path by means of contrast of colour and luminance with the road surface and it appears that these properties should be maximised (Horberry et al., 2006). Selection of the type of road marking materials by road administrators depends on many factors, including the desired durability, required visibility, price, and local considerations. Considerable funds are allocated to keep the markings at adequate performance level. Even though the overall long-term performance and cost should be balanced, unfortunately, quite frequently it seems that short-term expense plays more significant role. A model could be functional contracts, such as are in place for example in Finland, where the application company is paid for maintaining the retroreflectivity and the choice of materials is in its hands. Environmental friendliness is also critical: not only in terms of contents of emissions of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), but also as an overall impact on our planet. Green Public Procurement (GPP)

Assessment of effects of various road markings has not produced definitive results as to which road markings maximise safety benefits (Asdrubali et al., 2013). Field of driver attention is changing depending on the construction characteristics of the road, the traffic conditions, and the vehicle speed, generally decreasing with increase if the speed and increasing when reducing speed (Thurston, 2009). Studies showed that with high visibility markings on outer roads the drivers tend to increase speed, thus nullifying the benefits on safety (Retting et al., 2000). At urban level, things get more complicated because of factors like pedestrian crossings, turnabouts, stop signals and also a different way of driving (Retting et al., 2000). It was also demonstrated that removal of all horizontal markings in low-speed residential areas in a “shared space” concept lead to increased safety for all due to drivers paying more attention on surroundings and other road users instead of following the guiding path (Hamilton-Baillie and Jones, 2005; Experiment done in town of Drachten, 2007; Bosley, 2007).

2. The Role of Road Markings

Road markings are one of the most important components of traffic signalisation because of their position in the central area of drivers’ attention. Their function is to warn drivers about conditions of the road and its construction characteristics and to help in determining lateral or transverse position of criteria for outdoor paints and varnishes is extended to road marking materials as well.3

In Croatia, public tenders have condition that the price is the only consideration, according to the “Law of Public Procurement”, Article 58, Paragraph 1b.
important feature of road markings is their continuity along the entire length of the road, which is a significant fact in the process of orientation. It can be said that road markings are telling the driver, with a specific language, what to do and how to behave in certain situations in traffic. They are especially beneficial in poor visibility conditions. The main tasks of road markings are:

- Drawing attention to the situation around and in the area in front of the vehicle, which requires special attention and caution.
- Ensuring traffic management, particularly at approaches to intersections.
- Defining the road in its course and layout.
- Giving drivers clear orientation and safe guidance by day and night.
- Informing drivers about certain legal restrictions.
- Helping to regulate traffic flow in an optimal way.
- Helping drivers to safely reach their destinations.

All drivers interact with environmental clues during driving. Indeed, driving is a series of decisions based 90% on visual clues (Thurston, 2009). As the overall society age increases, it becomes increasingly important that road systems incorporate sufficient tolerances that cater for deteriorating light perception and also for the longer time it takes for the elderly to react to all of these important visual clues (Zwahlen et al., 1998; Eby et al., 2008).

Two important factors describe connection between driver and road markings:

- A driver must be able to see road markings at a certain distance to perceive, process, and react to the information that the pavement marking presents in order to receive adequate information to safely guide the vehicle. Since the required distance increases as the speed of the vehicle increases, it is often described as constant preview time.
- “It has been established that for night time low-beam conditions, a driver requires a minimum recommended preview time (comprising both eye fixation time and driver reaction time) of 3.65 seconds at 80 km/h, of oncoming road geometry to enable safe negotiation without the driver requiring to shift attention away from the road, to look for other clues.” (Zwahlen et al., 1998)

The contrast between white (in some countries also yellow) marking and black road surface is sufficient at daylight, but at night retroreflectivity plays more significant role. Visibility of road markings at night is accomplished by materials like glass or ceramic beads, which reflect the light from vehicles headlights, as show in Fig. 1 (Migletz et al., 1999). Proper embedment of the reflective elements is critical for retroreflectivity (Grosges, 2008).

A cross-section cut shown in Fig. 2 shows also anti-skid particles and completely sunk glass beads.
Poor visibility of most markings at night during rainy conditions is caused by water blocking retroreflection, as shown in Fig. 3. This deficiency can be alleviated by utilisation of structured markings and large glass beads, which do not become submerged during normal rain conditions. Retroreflectivity is achieved as long as the reflective elements remain properly embedded – upon their loss or imperfect embedment, only daytime reflectivity is maintained.
Retroreflectivity is better if the driver is sitting lower, because the observation angle is smaller, as demonstrated in Fig. 4. Observation angle is important because retroreflective light is returned as a narrow cone with the inner part of the cone being most intense. Therefore, the light appears brighter to a normal car driver sitting lower and nearer to the headlights, than to a lorry driver who sits higher above the headlights.
3. Materials for Road Markings

The available plethora of road marking materials is quite difficult to unambiguously classify. Assigning to categories based on the material type – paint, thermoplastic, plural component systems, and tape is rather frequent. Another method is based on the solvent: Solventborne, waterborne, and solvent-free. Yet another categorising is based on their durability, into conventional, durable, and temporary marking products. Dividing road markings types based on their chemistry is also possible and frequently employed. Types of achieved retroreflection under rainy weather is yet another classification method.

Road authorities could also divide the marking systems based on the initial or overall expense of application and maintenance; indeed, some data sources list durability and relative prices of various road markings (Montebello and Schroeder, 2000). Even though such information can serve as general guideline, one must remember that only side-by-side comparison could provide reliable scientifically valid information. (Simultaneously, such comparison would not necessarily be fair due to different typically applied film builds and design of different materials for particular layer thickness.) No references of such comparisons could be found. Even homologation in Germany, run under strictly controlled laboratory conditions, falls into the dissimilar film thickness issue. Hence, all comparisons have to be taken with a grain of salt. Comprehensive cost-benefit analysis that would include all of the cradle-to-grave factors, including also financial and social costs, is still to be done. Overall, one must remember that due to various surfaces and climates, there is never a definite answer (Gates et al., 2003; Dwyer et al., 2013).

Table 1 shows the summary of the road marking materials and attempts their assignment to different categories. Different classes of materials, with particular attention paid to paints, are reviewed below.
## Table 1

### Road Marking Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Price&lt;sup&gt;(a)&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Durability Resin Solvent</th>
<th>VOC (g/l)</th>
<th>— Risks for users —</th>
<th>Typical applied thickness</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paint / 1K&lt;sup&gt;(b)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Moderate High Acrylic Water &lt;50</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>300-900 µm, dry 200-600 µm</td>
<td>- High durability. - Low environmental footprint. - Safety. - Quick tack-free time - Fast drying at proper conditions. - Adhesion to various surfaces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint</td>
<td>Very low Very low Alkyd or styreric Organic 400-600 (20-35%) High (solvents) High (solvents) Flammable.</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>300-400 µm, dry 200-250 µm</td>
<td>- Initially inexpensive. - Easy to apply. - Easy clean-up. - May use inexpensive equipment.</td>
<td>- May use inexpensive equipment.</td>
<td>Very low durability. - Poor UV resistance. - Rather slow drying. - High environmental impact. - Require organometallic driers. - Solvent harmfulness and odour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint / 2K&lt;sup&gt;(c)&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>High High Epoxy or urethane or urea, also modified Organic 400-600 (25-35%) High (epoxy toxicity) High (toxicity to aquatic life) Flammable.</td>
<td>wet</td>
<td>300-400 µm, dry 200-250 µm</td>
<td>- Initially inexpensive. - Easy to apply. - Dry in adverse conditions. - Easy clean-up. - May use inexpensive equipment.</td>
<td>- Reasonable cost-performance balance.</td>
<td>Very costly. - Risk of human allergic reaction. - High environmental impact. - Solvent odour. - Poor ultraviolet stability. - Solvent harmfulness and odour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coldplastic</td>
<td>Very high Very high Mostly acrylic, rarely polyester &lt;50</td>
<td>Moderate to high Moderate to high Flammable, uncontrolled polymerisation. Organic peroxide</td>
<td>1000-3000 µm</td>
<td>- Very high durability. - No solvents. - Super-quick drying.</td>
<td>- Excellent adhesion to some surfaces.</td>
<td>- Costly. - Special application equipment needed. - Sensitive to moisture and temperature (location-specific formulations needed). - Risk of uncontrolled polymerisation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoplastic</td>
<td>Very low High Alkyl or hydrocarbon &lt;50</td>
<td>Minimal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1000-3000 µm</td>
<td>- Tailoring durability with specific requirements. - Ease of application. - Very high retroreflectivity. - Ease of removal of temporary markings.</td>
<td>- Application surface preparation (poor adhesion can lead to complete peel-off)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Relative price estimate of materials and application at typical film build; long-term durability not taken into consideration. (b) Due to irreversibility of the solidification reaction, quick-set waterborne single component material is somewhat different as compared to the typical paint (vide infra). (c) Two-component solventborne materials are applied like paint. (d) Tape comes in many varieties, including designed for temporary marking; hence, its price depends on durability.

Source: By Authors
3.1. Thermoplastic

Thermoplastic road marking materials were developed and patented in the United Kingdom by R. S. Clare & Co. Ltd of Liverpool, England in 1933 (Clare, 2015). They remain one of the most successful road marking materials still in use, despite being an 80 years old technology. It should be noted that term ‘thermoplastic’ has in road marking context different meaning than in chemistry of polymers – these are merely plastic masses, barely modified by polymerisation, that require thermal energy for processing.

Thermoplastic road marking materials are composed of a solid hydrocarbon or alkyd resin intermixed with pigments and fillers as well as glass beads. The resins come either from natural renewable resources, plantations of pine trees, or from petroleum distillates.

Manufacturing of thermoplastic masses is done at high temperature and then the materials are either dried and palletised or pre-formed to the desired shape of special road markings. Application simply requires re-heating of the thermoplastic to about 200 °C to melt it – it can be done by heated extruding or in case of pre-formed marking by heating with a torch. Process of thermoplastic road marking materials is shown schematically in Fig. 5. Thermoplastics are used for line markings, pedestrian crossings, and various signs on road surface.

At application time, additional glass beads and anti-skid materials are dropped-on to assure good initial retroreflection and low surface slip. Proper preparation of the application surface is critical as imperfect adhesion may lead to peeling off and complete loss of material. Thermoplastic materials are modified for the use in specific climates and any mismatches lead to inferior performance.

Thermoplastic materials are essentially solvent-free and some of the resins are from renewable natural resources, but the need to heat them two times significantly increases environmental impact.

Typical application thickness of 3 mm assures long service life, even in highly used motorways reaching 2-4 years. As the material wears off, intermixed glass...
beads progressively become exposed to the traffic, which allows for maintaining high retroreflectivity throughout the usable life.

3.2. Two Component Systems: Epoxy, Urea, Urethane, and their Modifications

With plural component systems, chemical reaction that occurs at the application site assures formation of very durable markings, usable for up to 5 years even on major roads. In these systems, one of the components contains solubilised resin, pigments and fillers and the other the catalyst. In this context, word ‘catalyst’ denotes merely “component B” and not a catalyst in chemical meaning of the word. Component B, in case of epoxy systems polyamine, is typically not pigmented, but it can be. General process is as shown in Fig. 6. Such materials are used mainly for line markings.

Fig. 6.
Generic Epoxy-Based Materials Process
Source: By Authors

Because epoxy resins are very viscous, the use of solvents is common: VOC content of such epoxy paints is high and can reach even 600 g/l. Due to generally sluggish reaction that might take even hours after application, pre-mixing in application tank is at times possible. All epoxy systems are formulated to obtain easy mix ratios like 1:1 or 1:2. Epoxy systems are not flexible, but hard and very durable. Typically, they have high affinity for glass beads, which increases usable life of the applied road markings. Their drawback is quite poor resistance to ultraviolet radiation, which causes yellowing: Indeed, applied epoxy road markings must be constantly exposed to traffic to avoid visible discolouration. They can be successfully applied at various conditions, in extreme cases even on slightly moist road surfaces!

More recently developed systems include multi-functional modified epoxy resins and can be formulated as solvent-less systems furnishing drying times as quick at 5 minutes (Tan, 2011). However, their application requires heated equipment to liquefy molasses-like epoxy component and mixing with the catalyst must be done only at the nozzle. Properly designed systems are not only exceptionally durable, but also hard and flexible.

The hazards of all epoxy systems are numerous and start with the epoxy resin itself, which can initiate allergic reaction, general human toxicity of the starting materials for production of the resin, corrosive properties of the polyamine component, and either the need for heated
equipment or slow drying. European Union is attempting to limit the use of epoxies due to human and environmental health considerations. Indeed, epoxy paints are seldom used in Europe.

Systems based on straight or modified polyurea or polyurethanes are in general principles similar to epoxy. Due to high pricing and human health considerations (the presence of isocyanates, which are known to cause allergic sensitisation), they are even more rarely used.

As compared to epoxy, durability of such systems tends to be better and they are less sensitive to ultraviolet radiation.

### 3.3. Coldplastic

Coldplastic is more of a class in itself, even though it is in a sense a plural component system. In coldplastics, monomers (most of the time various acrylates) are mixed with pigments and fillers and anti-skid materials and polymerised on the road surface to form exceptionally durable, hard, well-adhering marking. Schematic process is shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7. Coldplastic Process](Source: By Authors)

To start the polymerisation, small amount of an initiator is added, which requires high dosing accuracy and specialised equipment. Coldplastics are quite sensitive to moisture and temperature, which mandates the use of special formulations and/or an accelerator or a retarder as needed. When properly applied, coldplastics dry within 20-30 minutes and with correctly selected glass beads provide high retroreflectivity. Coldplastics are used mainly on high-traffic roads and on pedestrian crossings (Asdrubali et al., 2013). They can be extruded at thick layers or as structured markings for lines and also applied by hand. The typical thickness is 2-5 mm, which assures long service life.

Amongst major risks associated with the use of coldplastic, one must list the monomers, which are flammable and can undergo uncontrolled polymerisation. Another major risk is associated with the initiator, which requires special labelling and transportation. Due to these hazards, coldplastics, despite being versatile, having minimal environmental impact at the time of application, and offering excellent properties, cannot fulfil GPP requirements for ecologically-friendly product. Amongst human health considerations, it is quite interesting that while some people report the odour of acrylic monomers as pleasant and fruity, to others it is aggressively unpleasant.

---

4 First steps were taken to limit contact of epoxy materials with foods in Commission Directive 2002/16/EC (20.02.2002).
and irritating. Commonly used butyl acrylate has the odour detection limit of mere 0.1 ppb (0.00052 mg/m$^3$). Human nose can detect butyl acrylate as a level about 1,000 times lower than the permissible exposure limit! (National Institute of Health). Hardly ever used polyester-based materials fall into this category.

### 3.4. Road Markings Tapes

These materials are available at several performance levels and types, both permanent and temporary. Tapes are typically applied by rolling onto hot or primed surfaces, or are at times placed in specially prepared grooves. High quality of surface preparation and application technique are of utmost importance. Glass beads are incorporated into the material during factory manufacturing, which leads to improved performance and retroreflectivities of up to 1000 mcd/m$^2$/lx can be achieved. High-end tapes have a service life longer than others marking systems.

These systems are expensive: In addition to very high cost of the tapes themselves, which can reach even 60 €/m$^2$, application could cost 5 to 10 times more than application of thermoplastic markings. Frequently, low-end tapes are used for temporary marking due to their relative ease of application and removal. Most, if not all of high-performance tapes are covered by patents assigned to 3M Corporation, which appear to hamper competitive development (Jones and Bredahl, 1980).

### 3.5. Paints

Paint remains the most widely used road marking material in the world since it was first applied as a centreline in 1911 in Michigan, U.S.A. Paint application is done by simple spraying using high- or low-pressure equipment; it does not require heating or special technologically advanced application machines. Paints generally have good affinity for glass beads, which sometimes are pre-mixed or more frequently dropped-on or injected to the wet film.

All paints are composed of the resin (historically, chlorinated rubber or alkyd resins were used; more recently styrene-acrylic, acrylic-alkyd blends or 100% acrylic are preferred), pigments and fillers, solvents, and numerous additives.

Partially as a part of the worldwide movement to limit VOC emissions and to minimise the effects on human health and partially to seek improved performance, solventborne paints are slowly being replaced by waterborne paints or solvent-less road marking materials (Andrady, 1997; Mouton, 2010).

#### 3.5.1. Solventborne Paints

Contemporary solventborne paints are based on acrylic resins (rarely styrenic- or alkyd-acrylic blends) that are dissolved in organic solvents like esters or ketones. In countries where it is still permitted, aromatic solvents are used despite their harmfulness for the environment and human health hazards (McMichael, 1988) – that is due to their lower price, better control of drying, and generally improved adhesion to asphaltic and oily surfaces.\(^6\) Low surface tension of

---

\(^{6}\) Average price in Croatia between 2008 and 2014. Source: Authors.
solvents permits them to penetrate road surface cracks, which assures good adhesion of solventborne paints to road surfaces, even those in poor condition.

After application, evaporation of the solvent causes the polymer to solidify and thus the film forms, as a purely physical phenomenon, as shown schematically in Fig. 8. The solvent serves its purpose only to make the paint liquid and then escapes to the atmosphere as VOC, which in a typical high-solids solventborne road marking paint reaches 400-500 g/l (~25%). It has been estimated that in European Union about 35,000 tonnes of VOC are annually emitted from solventborne road marking paints (“Review of directive 2004/42/EC.”, 2011).

![Solventborne Paints Process](image)

**Fig. 8.**
*Solventborne Paints Process*
*Source: By Authors*

In-can stability of solventborne paints is most of the time rather poor (stable formulations are quite rare in the market due to their high cost) – settling and thickening occur, but they can be easily alleviated by the users by mixing and addition of solvent. Application is typically done at wet film builds reaching only 400 µm; thicker films tend to form skin and take very long to dry, even at favourable conditions.

Application of solventborne paints can be done at air temperatures 5-40 °C and surface temperature below 50 °C. The roadway must be clean, dry, and the temperature above dew point. Drying of such paints is strongly influenced by air and surface temperature, air movement, applied film build, and the utilised solvent blend. Humidity does not really affect solventborne paints and it has been seen that their application is stopped only when drizzle starts – this is their biggest advantage.

Solventborne paints are not suitable for high-volume roadways due to their poor durability: The expected service life on a side-line marking is only 6-12 months.

### 3.5.2. Waterborne Paints

Before waterborne paints are discussed, a property unique to them must be explained: washout resistance. The applied waterborne paint achieves washout when it no longer is affected by rain, which is later (in some cases much later) than dry-through. There is no standard protocol of measuring washout resistance time. Laboratory procedure where paint applied on a panel is exposed to running water, which is to imitate heavy rain, is frequently used. However, a method preferred by the authors is a water droplet – finger push-and-twist method: A drop of water is applied to paint surface for 1 minute and then finger with a set pressure is applied with twisting motion that is to
Imitate car tyres moving on the surface – washout resistance is achieved when the paint is no longer affected by the action. Such test can be conveniently performed at the application site, too. Even though the method is subjective, it is quite reproducible with experienced user – for a more scientific laboratory alternative, one could utilise an instrument such as Dry Time Tester.

Washout resistance time very strongly depends on the utilised binder and additives and is affected by weather conditions, in particular humidity. Under normal dry conditions, there is no need to wait for paint to achieve washout resistance to open the road to traffic – this property is absolutely critical only when there is a risk of rain.

First waterborne road marking paints were commercialised in the 1980s. Those paints were quite similar to solventborne coatings in terms of solvent evaporation causing drying, but their main solvent was water. They suffered from sluggish drying and very slow development of washout resistance; hence, their use remained quite limited. On the other hand, very good durability, in-can stability, and quite low price made them a good option in certain areas, where they are still utilised.

The development of quick-set binders by Morton International in the early 1990s (Climnin et al., 1991) recently lead to the success of waterborne paints in some markets. Generic process, shown in Fig. 9 from the starting materials to prepare the binder, is obviously more complex as compared to solventborne paints. The presence of carefully selected amine and high pH assure that the resin, containing acidic moieties in the backbone, does not precipitate in aqueous environment. Upon application, drop of pH occurs as ammonia evaporates and the polymer irreversibly solidifies. Hence, dried water-based paint cannot be re-dissolved in water or even in most common organic solvents. This physicochemical change of state is as major difference from solventborne paints as using water as the medium.

From the quick-set technology comes a unique property of waterborne road marking paints – they stop being tacky very quickly. Clear distinction between tack-free, dry-through, and washout resistance times can be measured. For the applicator, quick tack-free time means lesser unsightly overdrive marks.

Fig. 9.
Waterborne Paints Process
Source: By Authors
Application at film builds reaching 900 µm or more is possible without very significant slowing in drying (as mentioned above, solventborne paints applied at wet film builds higher than 400 µm are not practical due to very dramatic increase of dry-through time). Application of sandwich systems is also feasible with waterborne paints.

VOC content of a typical waterborne road marking paint is less than 50 g/l (~2%), coming from the required additives. Properly designed waterborne paints have shelf life of about one year – no settling or skin formation should occur during storage and the paint is ready to apply as delivered. Minor viscosity increase is normal and the paints can be thinned by the applicators with a tiny amount of water. Paint has to be protected from frost and extreme heat, though.7

The same equipment and procedures as are utilised for application of solventborne paints can be followed, with two caveats: to avoid rusting only stainless steel equipment is allowed and the paint cannot be permitted to dry in the equipment (which forces the applicators to maintain clean work environment).

Waterborne road marking paints are reported as compatible with a variety of surfaces, including bituminous and concrete materials. As with all other materials, they show sensitivity to freshly applied concrete or asphalt. Waterborne paints generally adhere well to the existing markings independently on their type, even to thermoplastic masses, which made them excellent choice for marking renewal.

7 United States specifications require freeze-thaw and heat stability (Cf. TT-P-1952E.). In Europe, there is no freeze-thaw requirement and the heat stability demands are less strict (Cf. specification EN 1871).

4. Characteristics and Application of Waterborne Road Marking Paint – General Considerations

Waterborne road marking paint is commonly used in the United States – not really because of its environmental friendliness as it is a country where acetone or a fluorinated and chlorinated aromatic compound are not considered VOC (sic!), but due to excellent cost-performance balance.8 In Europe, waterborne paints are used in Scandinavia, where solventborne paints are banned, and also in France, Italy, Spain, and to a limited extend in a couple lands in Germany. Other European countries use mostly, if not solely, paints based on organic solvents.

Amongst the advantages of waterborne road marking materials one must first list their environmental friendliness. A cradle-to-grave Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) was prepared and presented by Dow, major manufacturer of the binders for waterborne paints, where in all of the measured LCA categories, waterborne paints were presented as winners (Kheradmand, 2012). Simultaneously, manufacturer of monomers for coldplastic, Evonik, presented their LCA showing advantage of their product (Klein, 2012). The authors herein do not assess truthfulness of either analysis.

For the contractor applying road markings, the advantage of using waterborne paint is the absence of hazardous and flammable ingredients, which can lead into lower transportation and storage costs. However, a disadvantage is that the paint must be protected from frost and extreme temperature. At the application time, advantages and disadvantages of waterborne road marking materials are ambiguous.

8 Per 40 CFR 51.100.
Drying at favourable conditions can be much faster as compared to solventborne materials (see Table 2 below), but simultaneously at unfavourable humid conditions or in case of sudden rain there is a risk of washout. Hence, the applicators must be properly trained and must understand the limitations of the systems: vide Scandinavia, where rain is frequent and hot-dry conditions essentially absent and there are no problems with waterborne paints.

For the road authorities, advantages of waterborne paints are numerous: faster drying at proper conditions means lesser traffic congestion, higher durability means lower overall road maintenance cost, and the environmental friendliness means lower impact on our and our planet’s health. The environmental impact should be considered particularly in cities suffering from high ground-level ozone. The disadvantage is slightly higher immediate price tag that must be paid – even though long-term performance balances the initial cost.

One must remember that there are several qualities of waterborne paints and it would be unfair to compare the low-end material with, for example, coldplastic – especially at different applied film builds (Montebello and Schroeder, 2000). Similarly, some documents lists waterborne paints as having no pick-up times of up to 15 minutes, dry-through of up to 1 hour – that is all true, but does not fully apply to modern fast-dry paints.

Durability in the field is typically measured by retroreflectivity. This is affected by the utilised glass beads, weather conditions at the application site, application technique, road surface quality, etc. High durability of waterborne paints is expected, based on their chemistry. Indeed, in a study done by Dow at an application site in Pennsylvania, U.S.A., a high-end waterborne paint applied at 600 µm (900 g/m²) wet film build (about 400 µm dry film) was reported to outperform a thermoplastic road marking material applied at 3000 µm (Randazzo, 2013)!

5. Characteristics and Application of Waterborne Road Marking Paint – Application in Croatia

Recently, a field application was done on a heavily travelled two-lane Croatian road to assess (1) feasibility and ease of applying waterborne road marking paints in Croatia, (2) evaluate the paints’ performance, and (3) test various glass beads for retroreflectivity and its retention under normal use conditions.

The selected road stretch near Zagreb is travelled by approximately 8000 vehicles per day, has two years old asphalt in good condition, and was marked with the standard solventborne paint commonly utilised in Croatia. The application work was done by crew from Chemosignal d.o.o. (Zagreb, Croatia), under the direction of Faculty of Transport and Traffic Science, Department for Traffic Signalization (University of Zagreb), using two waterborne paints from Swarco Limburger Lackfabrik GmbH (Diez, Germany) and drop-on reflective materials from M. Swarovski GmbH (Amstetten, Austria).

The application crew has never worked with waterborne paints, but was experienced with solventborne paints and other road marking materials. After a few adjustments of the machinery (pressure, nozzle, etc.) the waterborne paints were applied without difficulties at target wet film builds 400 µm and 600 µm. Changes of paint and layer
thickness were accomplished effortlessly, but tuning of the machine was necessary each time. Lesser required coning and absence of the tyre marks from vehicles driven by careless and impatient drivers encroaching on the freshly marked lines were noted. Accidentally, the crew run out of paint and that lead to initiation of paint drying in the drum and flow difficulties – immediate cleaning of the filters solved the problem. There were no issues with drop-on glass beads; their embedment was visually good. (A little curiosity must be noted here: The utilised small American-made application machine has a container for glass beads holding only 49 kg of beads, so two full bags did not fit!) Side and centre lines were marked at the standard width of 15 cm; each system was applied at 500-m stretch.

Drying and washout times shown in Table 2 were achieved in the field. The weather conditions were perfect: mostly sunny with mild wind, roadway temperature 30-45 °C and air temperature 20-28 °C at 40-60% relative humidity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Road marking material</th>
<th>Applied wet film build</th>
<th>Dry-through resistance</th>
<th>Washout resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swarco Limboroute Aqua W13, fast-dry waterborne paint</td>
<td>400 µm</td>
<td>4 min.</td>
<td>8 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarco Limboroute Aqua W15, high-performance waterborne paint</td>
<td>400 µm</td>
<td>10 min.</td>
<td>22 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarco Limboroute Aqua W15, high-performance waterborne paint</td>
<td>600 µm</td>
<td>15 min.</td>
<td>36 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two standard solventborne high-solids paints</td>
<td>400 µm</td>
<td>17 min.</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: By Authors

While retroreflectivity is used to measure quality of the road marking, durability of the paints themselves can be assessed in the laboratory using Taber abrasion, according to ASTM C1353 procedure. Fig. 10 illustrates durability achieved with the road marking materials that were applied near Zagreb. Poor performance of the high-solids solventborne 100% acrylic paint is obvious – the reported data represents average of two solventborne paints and duplicate results. The result of this on the road should be visible after loss of glass beads, which in addition to providing retroreflectivity serve to protect the paint.
Initial retroreflectivity, measured two weeks after application, depended on the film build and glass beads type and size. Three months after application, surprisingly increased retroreflectivity of waterborne paints as compared to initial was measured in some cases. Quite possibly, the time to develop maximum retroreflectivity may be longer in case of waterborne paints than is commonly accepted.⁹

---

⁹ According to German rules described in ZTV M 13, full development of retroreflectivity occurs in exactly 12 days.

---

Retroreflectivity was measured using both dynamic and static test methods. The dynamic method, using retroreflectometer installed on a vehicle, is collecting data all the time (in milliseconds) and delivers average value every 25 meters. Data collection is done during normal driving at speeds up to 130 km/h. Static measurements are done traditionally, using handheld retroreflectometer. It is definitely much too early for conclusions, so only selected data is provided in Table 3. The initial results meet our expectations.

---

**Fig. 10.**
*Taber Abrasion Testing of Paint Durability (600-µm Drawdowns), Without Glass Beads*

Source: By Authors

### Table 3: Paint Durability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paint Type</th>
<th>Rounds</th>
<th>Loss (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard (high-solids solventborne)</td>
<td>626</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarco W13 (fast-dry waterborne)</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swarco W15 (high-performance waterborne)</td>
<td>2920</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**PAINT DURABILITY**
*(Taber abrasion method, without glass beads)*
Table 3

Dynamic Measurements of Retroreflectivity, Centre Line

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paint</th>
<th>Applied wet film build</th>
<th>Glass beads type and size range (µm)</th>
<th>Retroreflectivity (mcd/m²/lx) – Initial</th>
<th>3 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W15 600 µm</td>
<td>600 µm Megalux-Beads® 600-1400</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>582</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W15 600 µm</td>
<td>Swarcolux50 212-1400</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W15 400 µm</td>
<td>SolidPlus100 212-850</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>671</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W15 400 µm</td>
<td>SolidPlus30 300-1000</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>383</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W13 600 µm</td>
<td>Swarcolux50 212-1400</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>424</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W13 400 µm</td>
<td>SolidPlus100 212-850</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>442</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solventborne 400 µm</td>
<td>Swarcolux50 200-800</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>384</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: By Authors

6. Conclusions

Waterborne road marking paints appear a viable alternative to the currently utilised solventborne paints with possibility to encroach onto the markets currently occupied by more durable systems. Durability of waterborne paints, measured by Taber abrasion, is outstanding and significantly outperforms the compared standard solventborne materials. The measured drying and washout resistance times were excellent under favourable conditions at application time: waterborne paints dried significantly faster than comparable solventborne materials. The caveat is the risk of washout in case of sudden downpour and inferior drying at low temperature – high humidity conditions. Adhesion of glass beads appears enhanced as compared to solventborne paints, as evidenced by better retention of retroreflectivity, but the results from the test application are too fresh to draw positive conclusions.

From the point of view of application, waterborne paints can be applied effortlessly as long as the personnel is appropriately trained and maintains clean work environment. During the test application work in Croatia, the crew was satisfied; they noticed and praised quick drying during the good weather at the application time.

With the use of waterborne road marking paints, absence of hazardous, flammable, and toxic chemicals and lower emissions benefit our and our planet’s health. For the road authorities, the use of waterborne paints would mean somewhat higher initial cost that should be offset by longer usable paint life and lesser social health costs. In addition, quick drying under good conditions would permit lesser road blocking and easing traffic congestion.

Testing of retroreflectivity shall be done periodically – the initial results reported herein are meeting and exceeding our expectations (Babić et al., 2014).
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